

**BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN  
EUROPE**



**AIR FORCE INSTRUCTION 90-201  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE  
SUPPLEMENT**

**11 OCTOBER 2016**

***Special Management***

**THE AIR FORCE INSPECTION SYSTEM**

**COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY**

---

**ACCESSIBILITY:** Publications and forms are available on the e-Publishing website at [www.e-Publishing.af.mil](http://www.e-Publishing.af.mil) for downloading or ordering

**RELEASABILITY:** There are no releasability restrictions on this publication

---

OPR: HQ USAFE/IGI

Certified by: HQ USAFE/IG  
(LtCol Lynn E. Savage)

Supersedes: AFI 90-201\_USAFESUP1,  
28 July 2014

Pages: 17

---

AFI 90-201, 11February 2016 is supplemented as follows: This supplement prescribes guidance and procedures for the conduct of inspection activities within the United States Air Forces in Europe and Forces in Africa (USAFE). This supplement applies to all USAFE units and contains Allied Command Operations (ACO) Directive 75-6, *Special Weapons Training for Strike Aircrew* (NU) and Joint Safety and Security Inspection (JSSI) criteria that applies to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) host units. This supplement applies to Air National Guard (ANG), Air Force Reserve Command units and members assigned or attached to USAFE in Title 10 status. It does not apply to Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC) units. Send comments and suggestions for improvements, through command channels, using AF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*, to Inspector General (HQ USAFE/IG), Unit 3289, APO AE 09094-3289. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained in accordance with Air Force Manual (AFMAN) 33-363, Management of Records, and disposed of in accordance with (IAW) Air Force Records Information Management System (AFRIMS) Records Disposition Schedule (RDS). Ensure any local policy/guidance, publications, instructions or supplements are created in accordance with AFI 33-360, *Publications and Forms Management*, and the USAFE supplement.

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

This document has been substantially revised and needs to be completely reviewed. Significant revisions include: CCIR due date requirements; observer requirements and support limitations;

required Wing Commander assessments and an overall grade for each MGA; FAM requirements for MICT checklist specifications to units; USAFE/IG actions during a potential “Critical” inspection deficiency; Policy changes to PRAP; JSSI Guidance; USAFE/IG Team requirements; additions to Attachment 2 & 3; and updated template guidance.

1.5.11.2.2. **(Added)** Appoint a HQ USAFE MICT FAM and alternates to monitor and update their directorate’s functional MAJCOM SACs.

1.5.11.2.3. **(Added)** MAJCOM FAMs will provide written guidance on all HAF and MAJCOM SACs their assigned wings and units are required to run in MICT to ensure accurate and relevant continuous evaluation throughout the UEI cycle.

1.5.11.3.1. **(Added)** MAJCOM FAMs or their designated representative will obtain and utilize IGEMS and IGEMS-C accounts to review and accept or reject unit corrective action plans for Significant and Critical deficiencies assigned during HQ USAFE/IG inspections. HQ USAFE/IG will assist MAJCOM FAMs with acquiring IGEMS and IGEMS-C accounts and provide training on FAM responsibilities.

2.9.1.2.4. **(Added)** All inspection requests (to inspect, assess, evaluate, audit, assist, visit, or observe) to any USAFE unit must be routed through the HQ USAFE/IG Gatekeeper ([usafeig.iggatekeeper@us.af.mil](mailto:usafeig.iggatekeeper@us.af.mil)). All efforts will be made to synchronize the inspection with the next continuous evaluation phase. Inspections listed on the AF-approved inspections listing (Attachment 2) will be coordinated through the respective wing commander for approval and addition to the wings’ calendar. Any inspection request that cannot be de-conflicted will require USAFE/CV approval

2.9.1.2.5 **(Added)** The MAJCOM Gatekeeper will only approve events requested by the affected wing’s Gatekeeper and/or commander. Requests should be coordinated through the visiting agency/staff directorate and approved by the affected wing commander prior to submission. Route requests from the requesting unit, to the wing commander and on to MAJCOM Gatekeeper via the wing gatekeeper.

2.9.2. **(Added)** EUROTHUNDER (ET). Based on direction from COMUSAFE, the HQ USAFE/IG will plan and execute command-wide/unit-wide no-notice inspections as required. Inspections will typically last less than one day. The overall inspection assessment will result in a written report of the strengths, discrepancies, and recommended improvement areas. ETs will be rated using a Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory rating.

2.12.4.1. **(Added)** HQ USAFE/IG maintains the approved MAJCOM standard simulations and deviations. Units will develop and publish their own unique simulations and deviations as a separate document. Units will submit these to HQ USAFE/IG in the format outlined in Attachment 12 for approval. Units may still be required to demonstrate capabilities or conduct a table top exercise of simulations or deviations.

2.12.4.2. **(Added)** Unit simulations and deviations will be delivered to the HQ USAFE/IG Inspection Team Chief for approval NLT 45 calendar days prior to the inspection. They will be finalized by the HQ USAFE/IG 30 calendar days prior to the inspection start date and signed by the IG Team Chief and unit commander.

2.12.5.1. **(Added)** HQ USAFE/IG permanent team EAL will be activated on COMUSAFE no-notice IG inspections. The EAL will be published each time it is revised and distributed by

message to each installation or unit subject to IG inspections. Units shall acknowledge receipt of the EAL by message to the address provided in the original message. Wing, Group and MUNSS commanders (including host nation Wing/Group commanders) or designees will authenticate the EAL and distribute copies as required.

2.12.9. **(Added)** Trusted Agents. HQ USAFE/IG may request individuals as trusted agents to assist, handle and safeguard planning and execution information until released by the HQ USAFE/IG. Trusted agents will not divulge any information to unauthorized individuals. If a commander discovers an unauthorized disclosure, they will inform the respective IG that trusted agent information has been compromised. Mark all schedules and other inspection-sensitive information with "TRUSTED AGENT" Information. Individuals designated as trusted agents will be responsible to the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief.

2.12.10. **(Added)** Observers. Commanders of units being inspected may allow inspection observers, but must coordinate with the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief. Upon inspected unit commander approval, either the inspected or requesting unit must forward the list of observers to HQ USAFE/IG NLT 2 weeks prior to the inspection for final observer coordination. This list should identify observers by rank, name, base assigned, and functional area. HQ USAFE/IG has no support responsibility for observers. All observers will need to coordinate with the unit and will not be listed on the HQ USAFE/IG EAL (if applicable). The inspected unit provides observers with identifying badges (not yellow) except for MAJCOM FAMs or IG observers will be issued USAFE/IG yellow observer badges. Prior to inspection start, observers receive a ground rules briefing by the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief or designated representative. Observers must not interfere with the conduct of any portion of the inspection.

2.12.11. **(Added)** For exercise/inspection purposes, HQ USAFE/IG or Wing IG may act as HHQs, and form an exercise control center, or White Cell, to act as a focal point for all unit requests that would involve outside agencies. Units should expect White Cell responses to flow in a timeframe commensurate with the scenario. Delays may be imposed to simulate HHQ reaction time; requests may also be denied.

2.16.8.2. **(Added)** MAJCOM Command Interest Items (CII) must contribute to USAFE mission readiness. Consider other means to emphasize a review of the process other than through a CII, i.e. messages to units, MICT, staff assistance visits, or a review of computer programs that could provide the same information. Do not use a CII to address ancillary administrative matters.

2.16.8.3. **(Added)** CII Sponsors. Only HQ USAFE FAMs may sponsor CIIs. Proposed CII topics may be initiated at any level but must be submitted by the appropriate MAJCOM functional through their director to the USAFE/DS for coordination. The USAFE/CV is the approval authority for CIIs. HQ USAFE/IG will implement once approved.

2.16.8.4. **(Added)** The period covered by USAFE CIIs will not exceed 12 months unless approved by the USAFE/CV. Justification for CIIs longer than 12 months must accompany the CII proposal. The sponsor will send extension requests for existing CIIs to the USAFE/CV a minimum of 30 days prior to the quarter in which the CII expires.

4.6.4. **(Added)** Wings will make inspection schedules, exercise schedules, Wing IG reports, and CIMB briefings available upon publication/completion to the MAJCOM IG for assessment during the continuous evaluation phase. Wings are encouraged to provide any other supporting information they believe facilitates their continuous evaluation.

**Table 5.1. (Added) “By-Law” Wing IG Inspection Requirements.**

<b>USAFE OPR</b>	<b>Program</b>	<b>DoD References</b>	<b>AFI References</b>	<b>Inspection Frequency</b>	<b>Report Frequency</b>
A5/8/9	CFE Treaty Readiness Inspection Exercise	DODD 2060.1	AFI 16-601/AFI 16-603 USAFEI 16-611	Annual	Annual

5.4.2.5. **(Added)** Follow deficiency closure authority as prescribed in paragraph 2.19.10. of this instruction.

5.7.1.1. **(Added)** CCIR due dates will be based on the change of command date for the 90-day and annual reports.

5.7.2.1. **(Added)** In the Wing Commanders’ assessment an overall grade for each MGA will be included.

5.7.3.2.1. **(Added)** Wing Commanders will sign and submit their Inspection Report to the 3 AF Commander for review and approval.

5.7.3.2.2. **(Added)** Upon 3 AF Commanders’ approval, Wing Commanders will submit their CCIR to COMUSAFE and the 3 AF commander, and courtesy copy AFFOR, special staff directorates and other support agencies (AFIMSC, Det 4, etc.).

6.6.1.2.2. **(Added)** For units rated “Unsatisfactory” and not re-inspected on the spot to at least a “Marginal,” the HQ USAFE staff will ensure weapons are maintained in a safe, secure, and reliable environment until “Critical” deficiencies are resolved. The HQ USAFE staff, in coordination with COMUSAFE, will limit unit operations as deemed necessary based on the area and nature of critical deficiencies. Limitations are only removed after the unit demonstrates the capability to provide safe, secure, and reliable weapons with successful completion of a follow-up NSI, or at the direction of COMUSAFE. Key HQ USAFE/IG team members may remain temporarily on-site to monitor the “Unacceptable” area until relieved by HQ USAFE staff members.

6.6.1.2.3. **(Added)** The following actions are required after discovering “Potentially Critical” conditions:

6.6.1.2.3.1. **(Added)** The HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief provides a memorandum, classified if required, to the unit commander stating the reason for the “Potentially Critical” deficiency. This memorandum requires a written reply. The unit commander should notify the wing (MUNSS) and appropriate HQ USAFE Staff Directorate to inform them of the deficiency. Within 24 hours of receiving notification of condition, the unit commander will provide a written reply to the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief acknowledging receipt and will provide any supporting information.

6.6.1.2.3.2. **(Added)** The HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief assesses the unit commander’s written response and makes the final decision to withdraw the deficiency, decrease its severity, or leave it at the “Critical” level.

6.6.1.2.3.3. **(Added)** If the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief still determines that it is a “Critical” condition, HQ USAFE/A10N, applicable A-Staff director, USAFE/CV, and COMUSAFE will be notified.

6.9.2.4.1.2. **(Added)** Evaluate the unit’s dedicated strike aircraft and equipment for proper certification. Evaluate armament systems and avionics sections (as applicable) on the ability to provide safe and reliable weapons release system components and support.

6.9.6.2.1. **(Added)** For USAFE MUNSS, title this area “Custody.”

6.9.9.4. **(Added)** Evaluate military personnel support to include, parent military treatment facility, base, and squadron level Personnel Reliability Assurance Program (PRAP) monitors with respect to training, guidance, and information being provided to unit commanders, unit monitors, and base support agencies.

6.9.9.5. **(Added)** The MUNSS PRAP evaluation is a process evaluation of PRAP managed at the squadron. This process-focused evaluation will use scenario-based exercises, formal and informal interviews, observe day-to-day operations and product reviews. Inspectors will assess the certification, continuing evaluation, removal from PRAP duties, return to duty, training and management processes. Administrative and professional support (medical, rehabilitative, counseling, etc.) provided by the unit’s parent wing will also be inspected. While processes are the focus, administrative management of PRAP may be evaluated, including assistance given to the unit commander, PRAP monitors, computer products, substantiating medical documents, personnel documents and unit produced products. Records review will be consistent with the time period established in the DODM 5210.42.

6.10.1.1. **(Added)** Rated sub-areas include:

6.10.1.1.1. **(Added) Aircrew Performance:** For USAFE Main Operating Bases, aircrew performance will be graded IAW JSSI requirements.

6.10.1.1.2. **(Added) Aircrew Certification Program.** HQ USAFE/IG may require the unit to demonstrate an aircrew certification board even if no aircrew members are due for certification.

6.10.1.1.3. **(Added) Command Post (CP) Operations.** Evaluate CP controllers’ ability to process, validate, authenticate and disseminate nuclear control Emergency Actions Messages (EAMs) and their ability to compile, process and transmit nuclear related operational reports to HHQs. Inspectors will administer performance evaluations to determine how well the team can perform the mission and test controllers to determine their level of knowledge and ability to find the required information. Testing will include a portion that is closed book and a portion that is open book covering Emergency Actions and Two-Person Control (TPC) procedures. The test will be administered immediately after a performance evaluation and the material in the test will be related to the performance evaluation scenario. Three controller teams will be evaluated with an attempt to see different personnel each time. The final determination of team composition to be evaluated is left to the discretion of the IG inspector. Each team will receive a pass or fail rating that encompasses their performance evaluation and testing. Only one rating is given to enforce a team concept.

6.10.2.2. **(Added)** Evaluate planning and training. Administer a 10-question TPC test, based on duty position requirements, to all available TPC custodians and alternates, and Positive Control

Material authorized aircrew members. Individual passing score is 90 percent and the overall test average for all evaluated members must be above 90 percent.

6.10.2.3. **(Added)** Evaluate Weapons Storage and Security System (WS3) COMSEC program management.

6.10.3. **(Added)** Additional references include ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01.

**6.17. (Added) Joint Safety and Security Inspection (JSSI):**

6.17.1. **(Added)** JSSIs apply to host nation Strike Wings. HQ USAFE/IG normally conducts JSSIs in conjunction with the custodial unit's NSI.

6.17.2. **(Added)** Authority and requirements for JSSIs are contained in service-to-service joint technical arrangements and ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01. Inspection requirements are contained in CJCSI 3263.05, Nuclear Weapons Technical Inspections, and AFI 90-201.

6.17.3. **(Added)** Inspection Policy. Conduct a JSSI in conjunction with NSIs at intervals not to exceed 24 months. Prior to notification of inspection, HQ USAFE/IG will coordinate with the appropriate host nation agencies, US Wing and MUNSS commanders.

**6.17.4. (Added) Inspection Reporting:**

6.17.4.1. **(Added)** Formal Report Distribution. Distribute formal reports according to ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01.

6.17.4.2. **(Added)** Message Report. If an unreliable weapon, weapons system, unsafe or unsecure environment for nuclear weapons exists, follow guidelines outlined in ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01 for proper reporting.

6.17.4.3. **(Added)** JSSI and NSI reports will specifically address the deviation program as required by ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01.

6.17.5. **(Added)** JSSI Team Composition and Qualifications. The team is composed of inspectors from HQ USAFE/IG augmented by host nation personnel. Host nation inspection team should consist of one Operations, one Logistics, and one Force Protection Inspector as a minimum. The senior national inspector will be identified by the host nation prior to JSSIs, and perform duties outlined in ACO 80-6. Additionally, a National Representative (NATREP) is highly encouraged to attend JSSIs in their respective country to act as a liaison between the inspected unit and IG team. Host nation inspectors must be qualified NATO evaluators. JSSI evaluators from other NATO nations with a nuclear mission (delivery unit supported by a US MUNSS) may be included with the consent of host nation and HQ USAFE/IG. All efforts will be made by HQ USAFE/IG to ensure SHAPE/J3 is engaged in the selection process.

6.17.5.1. **(Added)** The host nation inspectors must have appropriate security clearances and will not be a member of the unit being inspected.

**6.17.6. (Added) Inspection Procedures:**

6.17.6.1. **(Added)** Units are expected to treat all operations as real-world unless authorized through approved simulations/deviations. Host nation will coordinate with their respective US

MUNSS for inclusion into the unit simulations/deviations as a single document. Refer to paragraph 2.12.4. and Attachment 12 for additional guidance.

6.17.6.2. **(Added)** The custodial unit commander, in coordination with the host nation wing commander, is responsible for safety of personnel and security of resources. If an actual emergency occurs during any exercise, the exercise will be suspended and personnel will respond to the emergency.

6.17.6.3. **(Added)** HQ USAFE/IG will follow host nation rules and regulations governing photography/video of facilities, equipment, and resources. Provide photos/video to host nation personnel for security classification when requested.

6.17.6.4. **(Added)** Host nation inspectors will be listed under the augmentee EAL. Host nation identification credentials will be utilized to verify information contained on the EAL.

6.17.7. **(Added)** Criteria and Ratings:

6.17.7.1. **(Added)** Determine ratings using criteria specified in CJCSI 3263.05, AFI 90-201 and AFI 90-201 USAFE Supplement, ACO Directive 80-6, USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01, ACO Directive 75-5, ACO Directive 80-76, ACO Directive 75-6, and AFI 91-112.

6.17.7.2. **(Added)** Use the same rating or grading system for the JSSI as the NSI during concurrent NSI/JSSI. **NOTE:** Some areas are bound by more restrictive grading guidance in the ACO Directives. The final decision on the overall rating for the JSSI rests with the HQ USAFE/IG Team Chief in consultation with the senior national inspector.

6.17.7.3. **(Added)** Critical and Significant deficiencies will include a narrative statement referring to the potential impact to safety, security, or reliability in the JSSI report.

6.17.7.4. **(Added)** Deficiencies not attributable to the inspected unit, will not affect the area or overall ratings provided the problems do not violate the pass or fail criteria. Use the "Satisfactory/Support Unsatisfactory" rating as appropriate.

6.17.8. **(Added)** JSSI Rated Areas. The following areas will be inspected, when applicable, and reported in the JSSI report.

6.17.8.1. **(Added) Management and Administration.** Evaluate:

6.17.8.1.1. **(Added)** Directives and technical publications applicable to the scope of the JSSI.

6.17.8.1.2. **(Added)** Joint and unit standard operating procedures, plans, and instructions implementing United States requirements in the areas of security, safety, nuclear accident/incident response, command disablement, emergency evacuation and logistic movement.

6.17.8.1.3. **(Added)** Control and handling of classified plans, manuals, records, reports and components directly associated with the scope of the JSSI.

6.17.8.2. **(Added) Command and Control.** Evaluate the host nation Wing Operation Center (WOC) command and control during nuclear operation or incidents that threaten the success of the mission. Evaluate the Delivery Force Commanders' management of generation coordination and control of the strike mission. Strike Duty Officer (SDO) and the Emergency Action Coordinators' ability to validate, authenticate, process, and disseminate nuclear control EAMs will also be evaluated. Assessments of the SDO will also include mission briefing and

dispatching of strike crews for respective weapons systems. Additionally, coordination between United States and host nation command centers via WOC liaison officers will be assessed.

6.17.8.3. **(Added) Aircraft Configuration and Certification.** Evaluate aircraft certification processes and procedures. Examine the status of strike unit aircraft release system configurations/management. Evaluate US and host nation armament systems and avionics sections (as applicable) on the ability to provide safe and reliable weapons release system components and support.

6.17.8.4. **(Added) Load Crew Proficiency.** Evaluate capability of certified load crews to safely and reliably load weapons. Evaluate crew control and coordination, adherence to checklist procedures, and adherence to the Two-Person Concept. Evaluate coordination between load crew and load monitors. Evaluate in accordance with ACO Directive 75-5.

6.17.8.5. **(Added) Aircraft Generation.** HQ USAFE/IG will evaluate the US and host nation's ability to conduct a complete weapons upload, from initial command post message tasking to weapons break-out through aircrew acceptance and applicable post-load procedures. Units will generate one aircraft per dedicated strike unit.

6.17.8.6. **(Added) Aircrew Performance.** Evaluate strike aircrews' ability to comply with nuclear control order procedures in accordance with ACO Directive 75-6, ACO Directive 80-6, and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01.

6.17.8.6.1. **(Added)** The HQ USAFE/IG operations inspector will select 25 percent of available aircrews (minimum of three aircrews) from each strike unit for weapon acceptance evaluation.

6.17.8.6.2. **(Added)** Each strike unit tasked with an aircraft generation will have an aircrew accomplish an evaluated weapon acceptance during the generation. The HQ USAFE/IG team will evaluate at least one acceptance through engine start, mission abort before taxi, and return of weapon to custodial agent. Remaining evaluations will be accomplished as off-line acceptances. Evaluate strike aircrew ability to preflight and accept a loaded weapon system.

6.17.8.6.3. **(Added)** HQ USAFE/IG will select one aircrew from each strike unit to demonstrate a simulator strike mission and provide a simulator operator. Simulator mission will cover mission brief through mission accomplishment. The focus is acceptable performance of the aircrew in a realistic mission scenario. Emphasis will be on strike procedures, strike checklists, and aircraft strike systems knowledge. The simulator operator will act as a liaison between the inspector and aircrew for scenario injects and response.

6.17.8.6.4. **(Added)** Administer a strike test to a minimum of 70 percent of assigned strike qualified aircrew in accordance with ACO Directive 75-6. The test will consist of a minimum of 50 questions (at least 25 percent on nuclear safety rules) selected by the HQ USAFE/IG operations inspector from the unit's strike Master Question File; emphasis will be on strike procedures and aircraft strike systems knowledge. The passing score is 85 percent overall and 90 percent on nuclear safety rules questions.

6.17.8.6.5. **(Added)** Evaluate training records and academic programs to ensure compliance with ACO Directive 75-6 requirements.

6.17.8.6.6. **(Added)** Credit for the simulator strike mission(s), aircraft acceptance, and aircrew testing may be given by HQ USAFE/IG if NATO has successfully administered these



requirements in the previous six months during a NATO Strike Evaluation. Grades and comments should be included in the JSSI report.

6.17.8.7. **(Added) Safety.** The host/user nation will be evaluated to ensure the adequacy of the following:

6.17.8.7.1. **(Added)** Compliance with safety requirements and precautions specified in pertinent and applicable directives with regard to nuclear weapons safety and the weapons system safety rules.

6.17.8.7.2. **(Added)** Evaluate the fire-fighting force to ensure adequate personnel are available, properly trained, and suitably equipped. Examine notification, alarm monitoring, plans and procedures. Assess availability and serviceability of fire-fighting equipment to support daily and contingency operations. Evaluate compliance with ELO-1, ACO Directive 80-6, USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01, applicable NATO Standardization Agreements, and host nation standards.

6.17.8.8. **(Added) Personnel Reliability Assurance Program.** Evaluate the required standards of reliability for personnel as required by ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01. Accomplish by interviewing the host unit program manager to ensure only reliable personnel are involved in weapons and security functions. Evaluate how individuals are selected for duty and what the unit does to ensure individual reliability on a continuing basis. Satisfactory is the highest rating this area can receive.

6.17.8.9. **(Added) Host Nation Nuclear Certified Equipment (NCE).** Evaluate the host nation's capability to provide safe and serviceable equipment, vehicles, and the ability to maintain NCE vehicles/equipment according to the applicable directives and technical data. Inspect the availability, serviceability, certification and calibration of required tools, test, tie-down, and handling equipment.

6.17.8.10. **(Added) Security.** Ensure security forces are trained, equipped, and exercised in accordance with ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01. Evaluate to ensure facilities, equipment, and communications (to include WASS if installed and certified) comply with US and NATO standards in accordance with ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01. Review sufficient data to determine the unit's ability to carry out its day-to-day mission. The historical data includes, but is not limited to, security reinforcement exercises, i.e. response force, augmentation force, and reinforcement capability. Assess force composition, ability to meet required response times, and tactical deployment. Ensure security forces are adequate to protect the vault storage area and plans provide the most effective defensive arrangements. Assess physical security aids to ensure compliance with standards. Evaluate hosts nation response to a peacetime overt attack to determine effectiveness of resources used to defend against attacks on areas where WR weapons or weapon systems may be located (e.g., in storage, in maintenance, in convoy). Use realistic scenarios that are based on the Local Nuclear Security Threat Assessment. Ensure any deviations to ACO Directive 80-6 and USEUCOM Instruction 6801.01 are identified and approved by appropriate authority.

6.17.8.11. **(Added) Condition of Facilities.** Evaluate the hosts' maintenance and management of essential facilities, roads, grounds, and utilities as they support the safety, security, storage, movement, and maintenance of weapons.

9.1.1.1. **(Added)** Individuals being considered for permanent assignment to the USAFE/IG team must hold the appropriate skill level, must have completed Professional Military Education commensurate with rank; MSgts must have completed their CCAF degree.

9.1.1.2. **(Added)** Individuals being considered to manage inspections encompassing the Personnel Reliability Assurance Program must have previous experience with the program.

9.4.7. **(Added)** HQ USAFE IG will teach the MAJCOM specific course or “Augmentee Training Curriculum” and track mandatory refresher training for MAJCOM IG augmentees. Wing-level IG will ensure members are trained appropriately and track mandatory refresher training for Wing Inspection Team members.

TIMOTHY J. HOGAN, Colonel, USAF  
Inspector General, USAFE

**Attachment 1****GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION*****Adopted Forms***

AF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*

***Abbreviations and Acronyms***

**EAM**-Emergency Action Message

***Terms***

**National Representative (NATREP)** —The NATREP will act as a liaison between the unit, NATO/national authorities and the Team Chief. They do not act as inspectors therefore may not participate in determining grades or ratings during inspections. They will inform and update the Team Chief of national reservations and limiting factors impacting the evaluation. NATREPs will refrain from relaying trusted information or inspection progress to inspected units.

## Attachment 2

## LIST OF AUTHORIZED INSPECTIONS

Table A2.1. List of Authorized Inspections.

Item No.	Authorized Inspections	AF OPR	Inspection Policy Reference	Inspected Unit	
				Wing & below	NAF/ Center & above
Part 1—Non-Air Force Inspections					
	United States Air Forces in Europe				
59	Treaty Inspections /Evaluations by foreign personnel within Europe	USAFE/ A8X		X	X
60	Host Nation By-Law Inspections	USAFE/ A4 and SEW		X	X
61	CFE Treaty				

## Attachment 3

## AIR FORCE INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS

Table A3.1. Inspection Requirements.

	Program		Inspection Area	Reference	Special Qualification for Inspections	Expertise resides outside MAJCOM staff
7.	<b><i>USAFE A5/8/9 (Plans, Programs and Analyses)</i></b>					
7.1	CFE Readiness Inspection Exercise	7.1.1	Arms control treaty inspection readiness	CFE Treaty; DoDD 2060.1; AFI 16-601; 16-603; USAFEI 16-611	Treaty knowledgeable USAFE staff and Wing personnel	MAJCOM staff and Wing personnel may request support from treaty experts from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency as well as from foreign nation treaty verification agencies

## Attachment 12 (Added)

## SAMPLE FORMAT FOR UNIT SIMULATION/DEVIATION REQUESTS

Figure A12.1. (Added) Sample Format for Unit Simulation Deviation Requests.

MEMORANDUM FOR HQ USAFE/IG

FROM: *Unit/CC*

SUBJECT: *Unit* Simulations/Deviations Restrictions List

1. The attached listing contains the proposed simulations and deviations for the *Unit* during the [*type of inspection*] in [*date of inspection*]. *Provide basic justification for the request of simulations and deviations.*
2. Please acknowledge receipt and acceptance of these simulations/deviations by endorsing and returning this letter. Point of contact for this package is [*rank and name of POC*] at DSN #.

*Unit Commander Signature Block*  
Commander

1st Ind, HQ USAFE/IG

MEMORANDUM FOR *Unit/CC*

Approved / Disapproved

*HQ USAFE /IG Team Chief*  
Team Chief

Attachment:  
XXX ABW/FW/MUNSS Simulations/Deviations List

**Attachment 13 (Added)****SAMPLE FORMAT FOR UNIT SIMULATION/DEVIATION REQUESTS  
(RECOMMENDED)****Figure A13.1. (Added) Sample Format for Unit Simulation Deviation Requests  
Recommended.**

MEMORANDUM FOR HQ USAFE-AFAFRICA/CC

FROM: Wing

SUBJECT: Installation Mission Assurance Exercise Notification

1. (U) The (wing) will be conducting a Mission Assurance Exercise IAW AFI 90-201, Table 5.2. This exercise is scheduled to take place [inclusive dates]
2. (U) EXERCISE TYPE: [Nuclear Generation, Readiness Assessment]
3. (U) EXERCISE AUTHORITY: [Doc Statement/Mission Directive]
3. (U) SCOPE & SCALE: [Areas to be assessed/exercised]
4. (U) A formal report will be available within 30 days describing the details of this exercise.
5. The Wing's POC is Rank First M. Last, Office Symbol, DSN 314-XXX-XXXX or email@email.af.mil.

FIRST M. LAST, Rank, USAF  
Commander, Wing

1st Ind, HQ USAFE-AFAFRICA/CC/CV

MEMORANDUM FOR HQ USAFE-AFAFRICA/IG

Approve / Disapprove.

FIRST M. LAST,  
General, USAF  
Commander

**SECTION I – UNIT SIMULATIONS AND DEVIATIONS (SAMPLE)****SD01MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION**

None

**SD02EMERGENCY EXERCISES**

SD02-1AREA: Emergency Exercises (*Requester, Unit/Office Symbol, DSN Phone Number*)

WHAT: Certain scenarios will require evacuation of facilities on base due to exercise injects.

HOW: In addition to those facilities listed as exempt in HQ USAFE/IG standards simulations and deviations, key facilities that need to be exempt from evacuating are listed in Section II of this document.

WHY: These additional facilities are key to Real World mission requirements and/or provide services to a community that does not have access to similar services off base.

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED by:

Inspector Comments:

SD02-2AREA: Emergency Exercises (*Requester, Unit/Office Symbol, DSN Phone Number*)

WHAT: Simulate requirement for 24-hour operations and recall of tasked emergency evacuation team personnel. Only day shift task team members will be recalled.

HOW: A list of tasked EE personnel by shifts will be provided to the evaluator.

WHY: Employing 24-hour coverage for some exercise scenarios could impact manpower availability for other scenarios tasked during the inspection. Similarly, recalling Security Force members and the entire Munitions Flight for an exercise will adversely impact daily wing operations and prevent Wing from being poised for a real-world event.

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED by:

Inspector Comments:



**SD03TECHNICAL OPERATIONS**

SD03-1AREA: Maintenance (*Requester, Unit/Office Symbol, DSN Phone Number*)

WHAT: Physical differences of Type 3A trainer fin pads. The number “80” is not stamped on fin pad (ALT 356).

HOW: Accept for training purposes as trainer peculiarity. Will be identified and brought to the attention of the evaluator.

WHY: Trainer is properly marked for ALT 356 with the exception of the fin pads. Training fin pads were unavailable and thus were not changed on the 3A trainer.

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED by: Inspector Comments:

**SECTION II: Facility Exercise Evacuation Exemption List**

List of facilities exempt per HQ USAFE standard simulations and deviations:

1. Local Monitoring Facility.
2. Remote Monitoring Facility.
3. Central Security Control.
4. Alternate Central Security Control.
5. Security Forces Armory.
6. Installation and Restricted Area Entry points when posted.
7. Command Post Emergency Action Cell.
8. Munitions Control.
9. Maintenance Operations Center.

\*\*\*\*\*

AREA? (Identify the area simulation or deviation will take place).

WHAT? (Describe the simulated and deviated task or equipment).

HOW? (Describe how you will simulate and/or deviate from the task).

WHY? (Provide a brief explanation of why the simulation and deviation is required and the impact if the IG disapproves--resource expenditure, potential risk, etc).